

CALL TO ORDER The Regular meeting of the Seaside City Council was called to order at 7:00 PM by Councilor Montero.

ROLL CALL Present: Councilors Tita Montero, Dana Phillips, Steve Wright, and Seth Morrisey.

Absent: Mayor Jay Barber; Council President Randy Frank and Councilor Tom Horning.

Also Present: Mark Winstanley, City Manager; Jon Rahl, Assistant City Manager; Dale McDowell, Public Works Director; Russ Vandenberg, Convention Center & Visitors Bureau General Manager; Dave Ham, Seaside Police Chief; Esther Moberg, Seaside Library Director; and RJ Marx, Daily Astorian/Seaside Signal.

AGENDA Councilor Montero stated we need approval of the agenda.

Councilor Morrisey so moved with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Morrisey/Phillips)

Councilor Wright moved to take the minutes outside the consent agenda for corrections with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Wright/Phillips)

Councilor Montero asked Mark if they had to then reapprove the agenda.

Mark Winstanley, City Manager, stated no, you do not have to reapprove the agenda. You will need to have an item 8c on the agenda and or approve the minutes outside the consent.

COMMENTS - STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE

Councilor Montero asked for comments from Briana Boyd, Student Representative.

Briana Boyd, Seaside High School Student Representative, stated she was in her softball gear and just finished with softball practice. Speaking of that all spring sports are up and running again for this year at the 2018-2019 season. We started today for track and I believe golf started today too for both girls and boys and softball and baseball. We're ready to go, nice day but cold and nice with no rain. Tuesday we are going to have a blood drive if you guys still want to do the blood drive, you're welcome to, you guys can go to the Red Cross website and click on the zip code and you should be able to do it online. But they said that there's some problems. So if you guys don't want to do that, you're always welcome to just come to the school anytime between 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM. You're welcome to just walk in there and you can get your blood drawn. I think it's a pint of blood and it'll go to its worth saving three lives, I believe. I sadly will not be there because, speaking of that, the band is going in and having districts tomorrow, so hopefully we do well this one is not as important as the next one that we have, but it's still important because we got to make sure we play well so we can get a nice recording. So we will be leaving at 7:30 AM, gone all day, come back at 3:15 PM we will be performing at St. Helens High School at 11:15 AM. There will also be Oregon Healthy Teen Survey, which will be held in the Seaside High School Cafeteria between 9:45 AM and 10:45 AM. On Wednesday the bands back at it again and they will have their concert at 7:00 PM playing the same music that we were playing also the jazz band and two of the Solo Ensemble people. There's one Trombone and one Flute player and they will be playing in between the other bands. That should be a really fun experience. If you guys are willing to go, it's free. You don't have to pay anything in the Seaside High School Cafeteria at 7:00 PM and that's on Wednesday. And then on Thursday is the spring musical. We started off the spring musical last week on Friday and Saturday I went to the Friday one opening night. It's fantastic. They all do such a good job. They all have amazing voices. Everything's perfect. I didn't see any mistakes even though I was just watching as a person. They were loving it and you could tell that they had an amazing time. Everything's just perfect. They're going to have it on Thursday at 7:00 PM in the cafeteria and then they're also going to have a Friday and Saturday too. So you have three chances this week to go. It's Super Fun. I suggest you going, its \$6, for students with ASB cards and \$8 for adults.

Councilor Montero stated the play is Little Shop of Horrors.

Ms. Boyd stated yes Little Shop of Horrors. On Friday there's a Cesar Chavez conference at Western Oregon University and I'm not sure who's going to that. They never gave me any information about that and it hasn't been on the announcements, so I'm not sure. But I know that's an event that's going on. The boys have been doing really good for basketball. Varsity boys' basketball and they are having all at the state championship game but like one to get them into the tournament and that's going to be here on Friday at 7:00 PM and it's against Sister's. If they play good then they're in the tournament. On Saturday the girls will be leaving on Friday because the girls also won so they also have this game that they have to win in order to go to the tournament too. So they go all the way to Baker City and they're leaving on Friday. They'll get there, spend the night there. Then there's going to have their game, I believe it's at 4:00 PM and then they'll come home and hopefully they'll win. I was talking to the assistant coach and she said that she feels like this is the best position they could be in, even though it's one of the longest drives.

So then we'll have both girls and boys in the tournament and that brings the band and all fans and everybody together. Hopefully they'll win and I think that's it.

COMMENTS – PUBLIC

Councilor Montero stated now it's time for public comments, and these are comments from the public right now for anything that is not on the agenda tonight and a four minute maximum. So please give us your name and address.

Bonnie Woodman, 451 16th Avenue, Seaside, stated basically I want to know when you'll have the things that I have addressed before on your agenda. The Mayor, Jay Barber, indicated that it will be sometime this year. This is February and I'd like to know that it's not going to happen in December. If you would please give me a deadline as to when you will start negotiating looking at these items. I have also addressed my concerns to Representative Tiffany Mitchell and Oregon Congressmen, Susanne Bonamici and Senator Betsey Johnson, who have all indicated to me that they will send their concerns to the Mayor and hopefully it will be passed on to you. But if you could please let me know when you're going to put my issues on your schedule, either for your meeting or what have you. I would like to know, I don't want to be put off please.

Councilor Montero stated we're not putting you off. What the Mayor indicated is that the ordinances and the policies and all the things for planning that have to do with vacation rentals are on our goals for the next two years. And to get to being an ordinance that is looked at by the City Council, it has to go through a procedure and what we are going to have are work groups. The first stop is not here at City Council. The first stop is workgroups and that's, that'll be public information and certainly something that we want the public to come to. So you will be notified.

Ms. Woodman stated that's what I want to know that you aren't going to forget me. You don't want me here all the time.

Councilor Montero stated because it's not just you. We all have concerns and other people in the city have concerns. We wouldn't have put it on as a goal.

Ms. Woodman stated she agreed but sometimes goals are put off and put off and put off. I've had to deal with this since 2015. Personally, I don't know what others have and I'm interested to find others who will back me up. So I'm here to pursue it and I'm not going to go away.

Councilor Wright stated one other thing. I believe some of your concerns are going to be addressed by the Planning Commission. Particularly the things about how the regulations are going, forcing the regulation. Mainly what the Council is going to do is discuss overall vacation rental policies. You know, where they are, that kind of stuff.

Ms. Woodman stated the Planning Commission is the one that sent me to you.

Councilor Wright stated well, somebody, yes. I'm pretty sure you're going to have a workshop coming up before too long. To address those issues of enforcement.

Ms. Woodman stated she was not anticipating the upcoming tourist season. Thank you.

Mary Eng, PO Box 852, Seaside, stated Hi, I got a big packet, Kim made kind of a last minute copy to send to you. There's something I want to attach possibly I could do by email tomorrow. The Oregon Bureau of Labor Industries is investigating unfair employment practices at the parks district. So I will be speaking my first call to the investigator March 5, 2019. So I'm looking forward to that to see where that goes. And I'm very thrilled that investigator Chris Lynch finds my concerns to be interesting. The Oregon revised statutes, which he sites, involve retaliation for reporting a workplace. How's our or an unlawful, like a, you can say retaliation for the safety. Well there are two different complaints pending with them and what I found out today is that Northwest Senior and Disability Services has an open investigation as well as an open HR investigation. I found that out from the Salem Meals on Wheels director. I've also been in communication with NOAH because I felt that as a resident of Astoria when I was subject to harassment and discrimination and solicitation. For employment purposes, I thought to work at coast radio and also the community center. I was not treated in a professional manner. So I feel that my housing rights were destabilized by predatory behavior. So they are communicating because of the illegal things that happened in that situation. What I have for you filing is my objection to my assailant's voluminous legal inquiry, which is seeking my telegrams, my space, my diaries, calendars, etc. And I'm faced with a conundrum. I talked to Detective Hansen at the Astoria Police today. If I were to bring every scrap of paper I've ever collected in my life to this law firm, what right would it give this man to grope the left side of my breast, to pin me forcefully and bruise my arm, pinned my legs so I cannot kick in self-defense. I don't think there's a piece of paper I have that could give him the right to treat me in that way. I've been contacting, my Senator Betsy Johnson. I tweeted Wyden today. I'm doing everything I can and I want you to know for your personal sense of satisfaction that when the Oregon Law Center gave advice that I get a stalking order to prohibit dangerous contact. I felt like the game tipped like I am taking control of the abuse. I'm not letting the abuse frame my identity. I love politics and I loved government and I thank you for your public service and I hope that this little bit that I can contribute to this community is just the beginning because I think this community is a beautiful place to live.

I believe that the elderly and the vulnerable who might be recipients of meals on wheels of all people deserve our upmost respect in terms of the safety of their surroundings and the quality of personnel who may interact with them in their daily lives. Thank you.

CONFLICT Councilor Montero asked whether any Councilor wished to declare a conflict of interest.
No one declared a conflict of interest.

CONSENT AGENDA Councilor Montero stated we would go to the consent agenda with a motion to pay the bills. .
Consent Agenda: Payment of the Bills - \$748,647.57.
Councilor Morrissey moved to pay the bills with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Morrissey/Phillips)
Councilor Montero stated separate from the consent agenda we have moved the minutes from the last meeting outside of the consent agenda.
Councilor Wright moved there were a couple of minor revisions of the minutes Page 2 under Conflict: Councilor Montero stated was stricken from the minutes as there was no conflict from Councilor Montero. And then Page 3 under Vacancy – Planning Commission: There was a vote from all to appoint Robin Montero and on the motion and it should state carried unanimously with a second from Councilor Morrissey; carried unanimously. (Wright/Morrissey)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

VACANCY - CITY TREE BOARD Councilor Montero stated there was one vacancy on the City Tree Board. Council was accepting applications for the vacancy.

VACANCY - AIRPORT COMMITTEE Councilor Montero stated there was one vacancy on the Airport Committee. Council was accepting applications for the vacancy.

VACANCY - COMMUNITY CENTER COMMISSION Mayor Barber stated there was one vacancy on the Community Center Commission. Council was accepting applications for the vacancy.

NEW BUSINESS:

PUBLIC HEARING This was the duly advertised time and place to hold a public hearing regarding A Resolution Adopting and Appropriating a Supplemental Budget of Greater than 10% for the 2018-2019 City of Seaside Budget

RESOLUTION #3934 A RESOLUTION ADOPTING AND APPROPRIATING A SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET OF GREATER THAN 10% FOR THE 2018-2019 CITY OF SEASIDE BUDGET
Mr. Winstanley, City Manager, explained this is Resolution #3934 and this resolution adopts and appropriates supplemental budget of greater than 10% for the 2018-2019 City of Seaside Budget. Each year a little earlier then this we need to adjust the fund balances from what was estimated back in March, 2018. Once the audit is received and the actual numbers are there then we make adjustments to those budgets. There are other things that happen in the year that require adjustments like the fees that were charged for the school project. These are large fees and they also result in a large amount of money that has to be sent to the State of Oregon and we needed to make those adjustments. The budgets are adjusted by greater than 10% which required a public hearing.
Councilor Montero opened the public hearing regarding Resolution #3934.
There were no public comments and Councilor Montero closed the public hearing.
Councilor Montero asked for Council comments, there were no Council comments.
Councilor Montero asked for a motion to read by title only.
Councilor Morrissey moved to read Resolution #3934 by title only with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Morrissey/Phillips)

Councilor Montero asked for a motion to adopt.

Councilor Morrisey so moved with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously.
(Morrisey/Phillips)

**UPDATE – PACIFIC
POWER SMART METERS**

Councilor Montero stated next we have an update by Alisa Dunlap from Pacific Power for Smart Meters.

Alisa Dunlap, Pacific Power Regional Business Manager, stated first off I thought I would just do a little bit of an update outside of my standard presentation here but just to talk a little bit about Pacific Power in the county. In Clatsop County we serve approximately 24,000 customers. And statewide, we have 750,000. We primarily serve rural Oregon. So a lot of places right now that have significant snow. The local operations center is located up in Warrington, over by the medics and Oregon State Police building. We support a number of local organizations through both financial contributions and volunteerism. And as you see along the bottom here, some of these photos are our employees volunteering their time in the community as well as myself handing out some of our foundation checks and corporate contributions. In 2018 we handed out over \$70,000 in the community from our corporate. I'm here to talk to you about smart meters. So you might have heard about these, we have been talking about these for a couple of years. But we'll be upgrading all of the meters in the county. We began our smart meter installations last January, so in 2018 down in the Willamette Valley. We started here in this community just a few weeks ago on February 11, 2019. We are starting mostly in east county, so now, once in, and then moving southward from there. However you may have seen installers in this community already, they're jumping around a little bit, but primarily east county then South County will be last. We're hoping to finish by the end of May of this year. By fall of 2019 so fall of this year, we'll have completed all of our installations across the state. And if you look at that map, that's our service territory. So we have a large service territory down in southern Oregon and then a smattering of rural communities across the state. What is a smart meter? A smart meter is a digital electric meter and it sends and receives basic communication about your energy usage. So these meters capture exactly what your meters currently capture, which is your whole house usage data. The replacement just takes a couple of minutes to replace and it's not going to cost anything. There's not going to be anything on customer bills that has a line item or a smart meter. It's already been done. Why are we doing this? We feel that this is a really critical step toward the energy future of tomorrow. Most of the country, over half of the country is already installed smart meters. There was a good reason why we waited to do this. We wanted to test the technology but we really feel like this is a good time to do it. The investment was a smart one for us and this is going to help keep costs down in the future. It's supporting a more resilient and energy efficient grid and its better allowing us to integrate renewables. The more granular data we have about a supply and demand, which is basically what energies, which is, the better we can match our demand with the type of resources that our customers want. How could smart meters benefit you as an individual or as a business owner? The number one thing is smart meter is going to do is give you more insight into your own energy usage, whether that's at your house or your business. And a couple of slides I'm going to show you what your account will actually look like and what you can see as an account holder. You can view hourly data as a residential customer. If you're a business owner, we actually have 15 minute incremental data. So what that can do is if your business doesn't operate at two in the morning, but there's a big spike at two in the morning or it's the same for your house. If you're whole house is asleep at two in the morning. If you're asleep at two in the morning and you know your household's asleep, if you have a spike in your energy usage, you can kind of think about, well what appliances might be spiking, what's going on inside your home to sort of figure that out and, and make an informed decision. And it's going to enable a faster response times for outages. Right now a lot of people don't know that when you're out of power, customers have to call us to tell us they're out the power. We don't know you're out of power until a cluster of customers tells us. And then we dispatch our alignment that we go assess the problem. A smart meter is going to tell us right off and it's going to ping and it's going to say I'm out. And the whole circuit or the whole area's going to say that to us. We're going to know instantaneously where we have problems. Again, it's better integration with your current Pacific Power account, whether that's a mobile account with APP or if you're just signing online to access to your account, it's going to better allow you to integrate when some of our other programs like Blue Sky or energy efficiency opportunities, and you feel like it's a very seamless system. Here is what your account will look like on the web. This is an actual person's account. One of my colleagues gave me her account access, I blocked out her address, but this is what it looks like. So if you look down at the usage data on the picture on the left side, it's showing us her spikes and her energy usage for one day. And so this is daily usage by the hour. If you see that spike at the end of the day, that's 7:00 PM what's going on at 7:00 PM. A lot of people are finishing up dinner, you might be starting a load of laundry, etc. So there's good reason for some of these spikes and you can kind of assess out your own behaviors match that with your energy usage. The picture on the right is showing you how you can set up things like projected bill statements. You can set a threshold. So she set a \$170 threshold. So as the meter starts reading throughout the month, it's going to send her whatever she elected. It looks like a text message to say your projected bill is \$150. When you start, when she starts getting closer to that \$170, it's going to say, hey, you're going to exceed your billing threshold that you told us you didn't want to exceed \$170. And then that's an alert for her and her family to make some changes in their behaviors to make sure that they stay under that threshold that they have set.

So the next slide is showing you pictures of what it looks like on the mobile app. So on the APP the picture on the left is what a week's worth of usage looks like on the web and then a week via the APP. So there's different ways that you can view things, the apps really seamless. In fact, right now you don't even have to plug in any of your account information. But if you go on and you download the APP and there's a power outage, all you have to do is enter the address where you currently are physically located. It doesn't have to be your home address and just say power outage. I'm reporting a power outage. So it's as easy as the one little click. All right, safety and security. So this is something that, we feel very strongly about. We would not be installing meters if we did not feel that they were safe, and secure. Smart meters are exceeding industry standards for security and privacy. It's heavily encrypted. It's not sending any personal data. All it sending is the exact same data that your current meter is sending. Over 70 million homes or half the US already has smart meters installed. I said, it's a fully encrypted wireless network captures the same data that your current meters capturing, which is whole home data. We can't see when you use your hairdryer. But those spikes during the hours you might be able to tell me what you're using, but I can't see into your home and what appliance maybe on, again, no personal information will ever be transmitted. The technology has been tested and proven and this is why we waited so long to make this investment. They've been installed and in use for decades, including Portland, Portland, General Electric, which was one of the bigger utilities in the Portland area. They've had smart readers for over 10 years. Over half of the US already has these installed. Our particular meters went through rigorous testing both internally and testing externally. We did not one, not two, but three different types of testing on these meters. We also went to underwrite our laboratory. So UL, if you look at any of your other appliances in your home, you turn on your toast or your microwave, any of these, you're going to see a little UL sticker on the back. That's kind of industry standard for any appliance or a piece of technology. Then we went sort of the extra measure to make sure that our meters were also tested by UL. Smart meters do admit RF (radio frequency) but they're well below the limit set by the FCC, the Federal Communication Commission. They're also much lower than a lot of the things that you have. So this building probably has WIFI, a WIFI router, and that is much more than the RF in smart meters. Smart meters, are also located on the exterior of your house. A lot of these other common items such as cell phones which we pretty much all walk around with, baby monitors right by your bed and also Microwaves, all of these things and emit more RF than a smart meter. And again, most of these are inside your home, your smart meters on the exterior of your house. Opting out, we felt very strongly that we wanted to offer our customers a choice. A lot of utilities in the US that have smart meters have not done this. We went to the Public Utility Commission (PUC), which was our regulator and said we wanted to offer an opt out option for our customers. Approximately 99% of our customers have accepted smart meters and are enjoying their benefits, but there is an opt out option. There are two pilots; I'll get to those in a second. The standard opt out is a onetime fee of \$169, but that's only if your smart meter has already been installed. That's the fee to remove it. If you know right now, today you don't want a smart meter and you've received a customer communication saying its coming, you can call us, you opt out. There is no upfront fee. You will see a manual meter reading fee of \$36 a month going forward if you choose to opt out. The fee for opt out customers are all the same, we don't differentiate between a business and residence. The two pilots, so there's a fixed bill pilot and a quarterly read pilot. Both of these, the intention is to lower that monthly reading fee. Both of them offer an estimated bills scenario. It just depends on which of these you're more likely to be comfortable with. The estimated bill then allows us to lessen the monthly meter reading fee because we won't be going to your residents every month. We're going to estimate a certain number of months and then give you either a fixed bill, which basically is the same amount every month or we're going to read your meter four times a year and estimate based on those reads. Both of these are under review by the PUC. They have not yet been approved. What can you expect? So most people in this community have already seen some of what's up here with these are just a sample of what the customer communications look like. They all have this thing and look and feel. They're all going to have the same coloring, the same logo. There was a postcard or announcement and then a letter is sent out and she received her letter today. I live in South County. Customers are going to receive a reminder call about two weeks before your installer, comes to your home. There will be a knock on the door when the installers are there, if you're not home, fine, no problem. If you're home, you want to watch, you can come and watch. It's really not that exciting. Then a door hanger that will alert you that your new smart meter has been installed. It does say here that there's a less than five minute outage to do the meter exchange. I want to say two things about that. Its way less than five minutes. It's about 30 seconds. Unless we encounter a problem with your meter base, which is the thing that the meter sits on. We have local electricians on call that will come and help with any issues that arise, but it's about 30 seconds to exchange. If you own a business and your business is getting a smart meter, it is more than likely you will not experience an outage. Most businesses have what's called a meter bi-pass which can allow us to bypass any sort of outage at your business. If you have a problem or you're concerned about having the meter exchange during business hours and you don't know if you have a bypass. Most business owners don't know if they have one or not. Most restaurants do, other businesses it can vary, but you can schedule an appointment and to do that, I'll show you the number in here in just a second. There's a specific number for all smart meter questions. You can call the number instead of an appointment for your business. From there you're going to get one month of the standard monthly meter read, how we do it now. And then after that about six weeks later, you're going to have access to all of the things that I showed you before, which is that hourly incremental usage data. If you own a business that will be out in 15 minute increments. We know that there are a lot of community members that are interested. They have questions, concerns and we felt pretty strongly that we wanted to do some community events.

We're hosting two of those; they are next week at Seaside March 5, 2019, Tuesday at the Seaside Brewery. Basically right across the street come ask us questions about smart meters. There'll be a short presentation similar to what you're hearing me give tonight. There will be people on hand to talk about other things like energy efficiency, Blue Sky program, billing questions, whatever, Pacific Power question you have or you just want to come and talk to somebody about your bill, bring it, we'll have somebody there to talk about it. We will be serving food and drinks as well. On March 6, 2019 the meeting is up in Astoria. So if you can't make March 5th here in Seaside, you can go up to Astoria at Fort George. Same event, same staff will be there to answer any questions. And then I think that is it, there is a dedicated smart meter line. The one thing I'll say about that is our 888 number is 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. Our smart meter hotline is only a 7:30 to 7:30. So if you call after hours, you will receive a voicemail and they are very good about responding to those voicemails in the next business day. So with that, I'm happy to take questions if there are any?

Councilor Montero stated at this point we'll open it up to the public for question and comments and asked that they come up to the podium so that it can get recorded and give your name and address.

Joyce Hunt, 510 13th Avenue, Seaside, stated she was starting to feel like regular. I don't know if this directly came from me coming a couple of weeks ago or when I got my card I'm going to bring it and I only came because there was no information and now I know there's other people in the community and you know, all of a sudden we're having open houses. I think there were a lot of questions. You had your data and a lot of stuff I've been reading goes to the extreme other end. It's really hard to find neutral scientific data and worried about the RF. My meter is not even two feet. It's right outside my kitchen window. I'm drinking my coffee, my meters right there. I'm doing my dishes. It's right there. I'm still not sure about that. It's all those studies go depends on who paid for what. The only thing I feel like I can do is opt out but the fee of \$36 a month was more than my bill. Well, I just got my bill today and it is a little bit less than that, but not by much. The \$36 is way more than hardly any place. There's only a couple of states in the country that have that high of an opt out and even at 1% some local people did all these numbers and you could pay for that meter readers come around and read the, you know, the thousand or whatever, be in this county or several hundred for a few dollars per person. That's just, it's more of a deterrent so I guess I'll look at the opt out option. My friend stated you could put a newspaper next to my analog with the date and you could read it that way. I don't know, just seems like it's not giving us much choice. The PUD didn't get any public input before on this whole decision.

Dave Eilenberger, 236 6th Avenue, Seaside, stated they came put a smart meter on the other day, but we had no phone call, no postcard, no door hanger and luckily the computers are off, you know, we did have a radio quit working after they left, an old radio no big deal. But you know, a health concerns. I want to have ham radio set up at her house and I feel like we're getting gouged on the fee. Our property has to have two houses on it, two meters and it will be over \$800 a year to opt out, which makes no sense. But yeah, we weren't notified of anything. They came back, they were great they removed the smart meter, then another meter on which was just super but that was just my experience, you know. I think people need to know if their power is going to be cut, you know, in case somebody on oxygen or something or you know the computer or it could be a health potential health risks. But I just walked up there and then the smart meters. So I just want to share my experience and like I said, our meters are far apart. We're going to be charged \$800 a year and you know, I might have power bill that was \$100 a month and I'm going to add 36 to that. Plus, you know, my land lord is next door and that's another 36 so there might be concerns, I have health concerns, mostly my main concerns, the health concerns and being able to operate the ham radio system properly. I just want to make sure that people are going to be notified in case they are on some sort of medical support. He said he knocked but people may not be able to get to the door. I have customers that can't get to the door in five minutes. So just want to make you aware of what my experience was.

Councilor Morrisey asked if Dave was in Seaside.

Mr. Eilenberger stated that was correct.

Frank Erickson, 1960 Cooper Drive, Seaside, stated he has lived in Seaside beginning of my fourth year. We have two properties. You're facing a decision about two smart meters. I am a retired radiologist. I spent 30 years doing eye exams, trained in safety, in radiation safety, I'm a physics major and spent the whole morning watching YouTube videos of the this topic and doing some research, looking up articles, published data. I've seesaw back and forth on this and when I first heard of this two weeks ago, I decided to opt out and since then rethought that and decided to hop back in again. The concern is there are multiple, the health effects, have ping pong back and forth over the last 20 years without a clear consensus. There was a small but growing body of evidence of healthcare risks with RF in general, not just Smart Meters. It is true that the smart meters are just one part of the large amount of sources, of frequency energy that we're being exposed to constantly. As a doctor I wore a beeper from the beginning and then it turned into a cell phone. I'm wearing it on my hip for all these years. All these, I'm not saying that that's an anecdotal proof that it's safe to have an RF generator next to your body. There are some research articles to be easily found on the Internet that ask questions. Fertility rates, the cancer risks unwittingly, some of the more common on the side of that, people hold their cell phones, you know, that it's not, its association is not causation.

Can we say in science, we don't have the double blind studies that are going to prove to anyone whether this is absolutely safe thing to do or not. The odds are good that you're going to get away with being exposed to radio frequency your whole life, not having cancer. Someone describing the DNA molecule as a fractal antenna in their research, which is interesting. It's a symmetrical object that sort of looks like an antenna to some people's minds that it conducts electricity. I'm not personally concerned I'm 64 years old, but we don't have any small children. I have a cat he doesn't take doesn't pay any attention to electronics. That addition of and just another RF generator home full of my five electrical systems, computers, it doesn't really bother me. It might bother other people. And there may be something called electromagnetic hypersensitivity syndrome, might have heard of or seen on TV. I don't personally know anyone that has documented proven cases. And there are some people tried to convince you that that's a real thing and it may be in their mind. So you have that to contend with. I don't know what any one person that has that and what bothers me a bit more is paying \$36 a month times two, because they intended to recoup the losses that they might suffer from not having a hundred percent employees. I think that they should address that with the PUC, see if you could do something more reasonable. It was my wife who suggested that we hold up the Daily Astorian next to the meter and take a selfie, send it to Pacific Power every month and say, we're self-reporting just like you are. I thought that was a great idea. There are alternative ways of reporting that data. There's fiber optic that's another story. You know, Internet for everybody, but there are alternatives to what they proposed. There are communities that are trying to put limits on it and say one of our fields and other concerns is the, at least say it's heavily encrypted, secure data. But in an ex-military mentality, what we are doing is making ourselves vulnerable to hacking. You're giving what didn't exist before. You're giving an external facility the ability to turn off your power remotely, not just monetarily, but turn it off. If you get to the right computer, the right place, such a priority, you can do a lot of things similar to what happened to the Ukraine when Russia decided deal, same dynamic. So that's an issue. That's a national issue. It's a national security issue. It's not a City Council issue. I'm just saying that to give me an idea of what, what's out there and the discussion. I shouldn't be agreeing to this so readily and maybe we shouldn't. Maybe we have to think about it. State level hacking is very candidate, I think of the NSA, what they could do to other countries. I don't have much else to tell you about. I'm trusting Pacific Power did call me back. We had a nice discussion about what conditions, under what conditions I would agree to do this. And one of them was that rather than have them come out and do it without me being there, which a great selling point is. And at the time you don't have to be there, I would like to power down in my home before they pull the meter off and do it externally. I would like the option to shut down my computers, my router by myself at the circuit panel and turned back on myself when they're done. This is a sequence of events that has not been tested and a fully energized, often circuit and pulling and putting it back on energized, full voltage set up. This is not something they tested. This is something that was a problem. It might be five years to 10 years ago where the meters were recalled 70,000 at a time in British Columbia, because of fires. The fire risk is only a handful of meters out of 70,000 that might've been involved. It was never proven that the meter itself was the fault, but you know as an ex-electrical engineer and physics major and like to turn something off. If I know that power outage is coming, there's certain things I want to do. I want to shut off surge protectors that I don't want to challenge with a big spike in my facility. So that's one of my concerns. I think I talked him into letting me know when they're coming. The last concern I'm sorry for the lag, there are reports of the smart meters interfering with things like pacemakers or garage door openers or all of the Internet things, devices in your home. Depending on the frequency that they decided to use. I can't tell, I don't know whether the model that proposed to be installed here involves the same frequencies. I doubt it because it's been five years since that and I think they've gone through several upgrades and design that should have taken care of. It's kind of problem and but the horror story scenario is you come home, your garage door going up and down the doors, up and down lights are flashing in their house, appliances are turning themselves on and off. That's where I like to see the company address, what they intend to do, customer has that complaint and you say okay something's happening and they have been for internals installed. Did you take care of it? I think they'll answer that question when they come to their shindig.

Councilor Montero asked if there were any other public comments. There were no other public comments.

Councilor Morrissey stated he had a couple of questions for Alisa. You mentioned that these things are pinging and where are they pinging to, back to Pacific Power or where are they sending this information?

Ms. Dunlap stated there are a few communication devices already installed on our poles and they ping to those hubs and then that hub goes back to Pacific Power.

Councilor Morrissey stated how is this letting off less than say a baby monitor this pinging across the city to communicate with that, I don't understand.

Ms. Dunlap stated she was not the expert on the RF stuff, but I will tell you that they're pinging like three times a day. So the meter itself stores data and then sends it out about three times a day. So I'm assuming that a live cell phone or a baby monitor that's, that's active, that's on is constant and that these are not constant.

Councilor Morrissey stated from the research I've done. It sounds like they ping every 10 minutes. So that's not true?

Ms. Dunlap stated they do not ping every ten minutes.

Councilor Morrisey stated as far as the fixed bill can you explain that a little more? Because I think the fee of \$36 to opt out is absolutely ridiculous. I mean, you're basically charging a tax what they already have. So it's really like a price increase that you're doing.

Ms. Dunlap stated I'm happy to discuss the pilot options. And just so I can clear the record on where that \$36 came from. So the PUC was very strong that when we said we wanted to offer an opt out program that we could not charge a customer X for customer Y decision next door. So basically the cost share the utility condition doesn't allow us to have one customer's decision push costs onto another. So there was a very complicated, and if you come to the event are regulatory person can go through sort of line by line exactly how we got to that \$36. The one I know a little bit more than the quarterly the fixed, they'll pilot but basically you have to be in good standing with Pacific Power. You have to have 12 months of history so that we can go back and estimate your usage. And so we would estimate your usage. We would only read your meter three times a year. So making that \$36 down to \$9 a month read your meter three times a year and there's no true up at the end of the year. So you will see a fixed dollar amount every month based on the average plus those three reads that we'll do. That's what you see. The quarterly read is a little bit different and is not a fixed bill amount that you're not going to necessarily see the same amount every month. So we're going to read it four times a year and then we'll average it based on those four times. And then at the end of the year there is a true up in the end if there is about a 10% difference.

Councilor Morrisey stated these would be eligible for people right now.

Ms. Dunlap stated these are both pending with the PUC. There are two things that we have filed to say we want to lessen the monthly reader fee and how do we do that in a way that equitable for all of our customers. It's pending PUC approval and I believe we are expecting some sort of judgment out of the PUC next month in March about the fixed bill pilot. The quarterly bill pilot we just filed a couple of weeks ago as an alternative. So we are a couple of months out.

Councilor Morrisey stated my concern with that is you guys are basically a monopoly. I can't choose to go with a different power company. So I just think it's unacceptable that you're charging a \$36 fee to basically keep what we have that's just basically a price increase. So maybe you can work with Pacific Power on ways to waive that until this fixed bill pilot comes, gets rolled out, or is there anything we can do there?

Ms. Dunlap stated at this point in time, there is nothing that's been approved by the PUC except the opt out program that currently exists, which is \$36 a month. And I will tell you, we have heard this criticism across the state and we are doing everything that we can to make the PUC understand that we want to lessen that cost for customers. So we're filing things, we're trying to create other opportunities to make that dollar amount less without cost sharing among customers. That's really what it is there to do to protect me who is going to opt in to a smart meter from a decision that my neighbor might make. The PUC is extremely firm with us on that.

Councilor Montero stated if we are just now finding out about this fixed build pilot and it hasn't been approved yet and it's not going to happen for some time, by that time people will have already had their smart meter installed and they're going to have to pay a fee of \$169 to take it all off to take advantage of the fixed bill pilot.

Ms. Dunlap stated we're working on that. That's something that was brought up in another community and we're working on a way to make that so that is not the case so that if you're waiting to opt in to one of these pilot programs that we can do something about that fee to remove your meter.

Councilor Montero stated not to steal anything from Councilor Morrisey and I'll give you the floor back. So why didn't Pacific Power wait to get all of these issues decided and approved by the PUC before embarking on this project?

Ms. Dunlap stated she actually has a really good answer for that. I feel very strongly that our regulatory department did everything that they thought was necessary. These pilot programs that we filed with the PUC are in response to our customers telling us what their concerns are. So we couldn't have anticipated necessarily what those concerns would be and how to address them without moving forward with the project.

Councilor Morrisey stated as far as the health concerns obviously you guys are not acknowledging the health concerns where there's people out there that have any health concerns. So what kind of health studies have you guys done on these meters and could I get copies of those?

Ms. Dunlap stated on the website there are several third party studies and they are all a reputable organizations including the World Health Organization and American Cancer Society, the Federal Communication Commission have all done their own independent studies. We are also happy to release some of the data that we have.

Most of the sources that are directly on their website and I'm happy to send them to Council are all extremely reputable organizations that know a lot more about health and safety.

Councilor Montero stated I got lots of questions as usual. Dave happens to be my neighbor and lives less than half a block from me. I received my postcard two weeks ago. I got my letter on Saturday and now tonight I'll be rushing home to see if my smart meter got installed without me knowing about it because they got theirs install. So I'm a little concerned that possibly I didn't get the kind of notification that you're talking about.

Ms. Dunlap stated and that's a problem. You did not get notified at all. That's a big problem.

Mr. Eilenberger stated his neighbor got a smart meter but didn't see anything else in the neighborhood.

Ms. Dunlap stated this will be something I will be taking back. Absolutely. This is feedback. I have not heard in other communities.

Councilor Montero stated what is the cost to Pacific power across the state for installing smart meters?

Ms. Dunlap stated the project total is upwards of \$15 million. And you won't see any rate increases were still in a rate freeze. Our company did a rate pledge to all of our customers for a three year rate pledge. We're still in that pledge. In fact, we announced the rate decrease a couple of weeks ago of 3.8%. The reason that you're not going to see a rate increase for this project is several reasons, but the big one is energy and balance market. I've talked about this before. It's a bit of a, utility geeky, endeavor, but it's a project we embarked on a few years ago, but it saved a lot of dollars and we were able to reinvest those dollars in other projects.

Councilor Montero stated does Pacific Power have any estimate of how many people are going to be laid off because they aren't going to be reading meters?

Ms. Dunlap stated its a hundred jobs in the State of Oregon. Over a quarter of those, I believe about 40 of them have been retrained and reassigned to another position. So it is a union position here locally. I am doing everything I can within the business community to help those individuals find another position.

Councilor Montero stated at what point in time will we be users see a rate decrease due to the decreased costs of Pacific Power reading meters?

Ms. Dunlap stated that's a very complicated question to answer. I'm not sure we'll ever be able to pinpoint there's a rate decrease is associated with this project.

Councilor Montero stated because it's ultimately going to be less expensive for Pacific Power to do meter reading.

Ms. Dunlap stated that was correct because we won't be reading manually.

Councilor Montero stated my plan right now is I pay a set amount per month and at the end of the year or sometime during the year we do a true up. If I get a smart meter place, will I still be able to do a plan like that?

Ms. Dunlap stated all of our current options for bill payment including the fixed billing is still available.

Councilor Montero stated originally because I've read some of the PUC stuff. The costs that Pacific power was placing on the opt out option was \$137 and there was great uproar. Mainly from I think the town of Talent and another county that that is when it was brought down to \$36 a month. I've also read somewhere that either PUC or Pacific Power or somebody estimated that it costs \$54 cents to read each meter. I guess I'm wondering why this is so expensive also. When there were figures you presented at the county commission meeting a couple of weeks ago that we're like the fourth highest fee in the country and they were comparing them to different fees placed in the country. And the one that especially caught my ear was that the fee being charged in Oregon is seven times higher than the fee in some county in Maryland, which is the richest county in the country. So again, all I can talk. I'm very, very concerned about these opt out fee of \$36 a month. And I don't think that, from what I've read, it does not appear that it's PUC that is setting that its Pacific Power asking for that. I do know on March 8, 2019, at 1:30 PM in Salem PUC is leading. There is another upcoming public meeting that will be held. This is from PUC the Public Utilities Commission March 8, 2019 at 1:30 PM, which will review pilot programs around \$36 monthly meter reading, which I think is those two pilot programs. There's still opportunity I think for people to be making comments to the, PUC.

Ms. Dunlap stated the \$137 was not the monthly meter reading fees. So originally there were two fees \$169 to remove your meter if one had been installed or \$137 if your meter had not been installed but you called to opt out. So what we did is we eliminated that upfront \$137. If you call us today and you don't have a smart meter and you call us to opt out, we don't charge you anything to opt out up front. You're going to see the monthly meter reading fee but you won't see an upfront cost. So that was removed and that's where that \$137 fee came from.

It was not a monthly cost. It was an upfront cost. So that's gone. I will continue to say that there were a lot of very reasoned, discussions about how we got to that \$36 a month to give you a little bit of the flavor of it. It's taking non-optimized meter reading routes. So you look at our service territory, that map, it's very rural. We're driving; say we're driving around out of our way to get to one meter in a very rural community. The reason that our fees might be different from somewhere in Maryland, you think about Maryland, they may be more urban environment. This is just kind of me projecting out how I know we came to the \$36 a month fee. I hear you, I hear the public's comments about this, and this is something that I will certainly continue to have our regulatory folks look at. If you do come to that community event next week, she will be there and she can kind of walk you through exactly how that decision was made and again how we're working to address customer concerns. There are a few other things I would like to make a comment on. So the Ham Radio thing, this has come up before and there is no interference with ham radio and we fully support ham radio operators. We know in this community in 2007 that operators were critical to this community and there is absolutely no impact on the Ham Radio. A few other things that I heard were fire risks. So the fire risk about 10 years ago there was a meter manufacturer and I believe they are no longer in business. That did have some fire danger much like a couple years ago the Samsung phone had a battery that had a fault in it. I can assure you that our meters are 100% safe and we would not put something on somebody's home that we did not believe we're safe. Somebody made the comment that what happens if this new meter gets put on and now something strange is happening at my house. Call us we will come and will test the meter and we'll get you a new meter and we will work with our customers. We understand that change can be difficult and we want to make this as seamless as possible. Lastly, on the communication front, I want to make a direct apology to you. I apologize that you were not notified. That's a big concern for me and if you're just now receiving communication that's a concern. Again South County was supposed to be in April, so you should start to receive maybe your postcard about now. But I wouldn't actually anticipate anybody in this community having to receive their smart meter yet. So again, they're jumping around a little bit. I will certainly bring this back to the committee there. There's an internal committee that works on this 24 hours a day. And then I guess I'll just close with please come and ask us questions next week. We welcome people's questions, concerns, and there'll be a lot of people there who are a lot smarter than me, but thank you for your time. I appreciate it.

Councilor Montero stated thank you for coming and speaking to us. And I do hope that people take the opportunity that is being provided at this point.

RESOLUTION #3933

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING AND APPROPRIATING A SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET DECREASE OF LESS THAN 10 PERCENT TO THE 2018-2019 SEASIDE ROAD DISTRICT BUDGET

Mr. Winstanley explained Resolution #3933 is a resolution adopting and appropriating a supplemental budget decrease of less than 10 percent to the 2018-2019 Seaside Road District Budget. The Road District acts as a separate government and there is public comments taken for this resolution.

Councilor Montero asked for public comments, there were no public comments.

Councilor Montero asked for Council comments, there were no Council comments.

Councilor Montero asked for a motion.

Councilor Morrissey so moved to read Resolution #3933 by title only with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Morrissey/Phillips)

Councilor Montero asked for a motion.

Councilor Morrissey so moved to adopt with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Morrissey/Phillips)

RESOLUTION #3935

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING AND APPROPRIATING A SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET OF LESS THAN 10% FOR THE 2018-2019 CITY OF SEASIDE BUDGET

Mr. Winstanley explained Resolution #3935 is a resolution that will adjust the Seaside Budgets of less than 10% to the City of Seaside Budget.

Councilor Montero asked for public comments, there were no public comments.

Councilor Montero asked for Council comments, there were no Council comments.

Councilor Montero asked for a motion to read by title only.

Councilor Morrissey so moved to read Resolution #3935 by title only with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Morrissey/Phillips)

Councilor Morrissey so moved to adopt with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Morrissey/Phillips)

ANNUAL REPORT –

SEASIDE PUBLIC WORKS

Dale McDowell, Public Works Director, presented the Seaside Public Works 2018 Annual Report. The report can be found with the packet at Seaside City Hall and on the City of Seaside website under the Public Works Department. The link for the annual report: <http://www.cityofseaside.us/departments-services/public-works>.

Councilor Montero asked if anyone had any questions of Dale McDowell

Councilor Phillips stated great job on the report.

**UPDATE – SEASIDE
LIBRARY POLICY**

Esther Moberg, Library Director, stated she along with the Library Board have recently reviewed the Seaside Public Library materials circulation and fees statistics and policies. Regarding our lost library materials policy the library has a \$5 surcharge on top of the cost of replacing the lost item that the Library Board and Library Director recommend removing from our current policy. This has not been a significant amount of revenue over the past year and appears to be an inflated/outdated charge for lost items. This policy is residual from past library practices of charging over and above the value of a book in hopes of deterring loss. Ms. Moberg further stated on further review of trends of checkout statistics by library patrons at the Seaside Public Library, the majority of patrons renew their items at least once for all two week materials. Ms. Moberg and the Library Board recommend a longer checkout period for all patron consideration, changing the Seaside Public Library policy from 14 days to 21 days checkout for all regular items. This will also bring us in line with the Astoria and Warrenton libraries and their checkout policies as we look toward possible consortium in the future.

Councilor Montero asked if there were any questions.

Councilor Wright stated he was used to 21 days when he lived in Portland.

Ms. Moberg stated a lot of libraries do 21 days and she actually looked across the State on what most libraries do and most do three weeks in Oregon.

Councilor Montero stated for someone who does a lot of renewals she really likes the change. Councilor Montero asked for a motion.

Councilor Wright moved to approve the changes to the Seaside Library Policy with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Wright/Phillips)

**APPROVAL –
CONVENTION CENTER
LIGHTING DONATION**

Russ Vandenberg, Convention Center & Visitors Bureau General Manager, stated the following request for excess lighting equipment was made by Jim Hackwith, Drama Instructor with the Warrenton High School Drama Dept. The following letter was sent: Hello, my name is Jim Hackwith and I am the Drama Instructor at Warrenton High School. Frank Kaim has been helping me with my lighting system for our plays for the past 2 years and has informed me that you might be parting with some lighting equipment that may help us out. We would very much appreciate any of these items to increase our productions. 1 Leprecon LP-X48 DMX control console and touch display unit, 8 Altman Q360 ellipsoidal @ 120v 575 watts each, 30 ADJ PAR64 fixtures @ 120v 1000 watts each, 3 ETC SmartBar dimmers*6x1.2kW each; 120 volt, 3 phase, 1 Altman Fresnel fixture, 2 ACL pin spots, 3 NSI DDS6000 dimmers**4x1.2kW each; 120 volt (total of 12 channels). Mr. Vandenberg further stated staff is recommending approval of this request as we are no longer in need of this equipment.

Councilor Wright asked if the equipment was found in a room.

Mr. Vandenberg stated actually we found this equipment it is everywhere.

Councilor Wright moved to approve the donation to the Warrenton High School Drama Class with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Wright/Phillips)

**UPDATE – SEASIDE
CIVIC AND CONVENTION
CENTER EXPANSION/
RENOVATION**

Mr. Vandenberg thanked Dale McDowell for setting the bar so high for department annual reports in addition to everything that he has shown you this afternoon. He has been active in the expansion and renovation project. It's been an outstanding resource for me, myself to ask him to attend some of our construction meetings, to interact with the construction O'Brian's team and some of the landscaping decisions that we're making as well as the east side of the facility and replacement of the sidewalk that we intend to put back next to the river. Dale has been very beneficial and he didn't mention that, but that's where he has spent a lot of his time for the past nine months. Mr. Vandenberg presented Council with the current update. So this dashboard is a current, a reflection of where we are both financially and on schedule. It breaks it down based on the existing approved change orders as well as what we anticipate for the remainder of the project. So if you look at this report, we started out with a \$15 million project. We have a 13.4 million that has been committed. We originally on our contingency amount, it was just a little bit above a million.

We have already approved \$328,000 in change orders that I've been in front of you and Council has approved and the \$568,000 is what we anticipate to complete the project, which will leave us a total of \$205,000 remaining unaccounted for contingency. Some of the anticipated change orders that I have not come to you at this time include elevator updates that we were not aware of at the time of the project began. We've been notified that because we have made a few changes to the existing piece of equipment it now has to be updated to the current standards that they require. In addition to that is some upgrades that we have. We have not brought them to you at this point. And the other item that I have discussed is some potential metal siding on the exterior of the facility. And I plan to meet with a subcommittee, this week to have some discussions regarding that topic. I have had a meeting with my commission and it was concluded that we can take that down to the smaller subcommittee and address that and then eventually that will come back to the council for an update of what we've decided to do. I can tell you that the estimated cost to put the metal on the complete exterior is in the projected, change orders. Whether or not we decide to do it is still to be determined. But even if we were to do that, we would still anticipate about a \$205,000 remaining contingency at the end of the project.

Councilor Montero asked if the metal siding was in the \$568,000.

Mr. Vandenberg stated that was correct.

Councilor Montero asked if the AV and elevator updates were also in there.

Mr. Vandenberg stated that is correct. The elevator update in itself is an excess of \$100,000. That's a very high ticket item, but that is included in the anticipated. So a quick update of where we are. We started the project May 8, 2018, and anticipate substantial completion by August 30, 2019. The project is broken into six phases. We are currently completed with phase one. We are currently working on two, three, four, and five. So about 85% to 90% of the building is currently under construction. We anticipate phase two to be completed and, with full occupancy granted by March 8, 2019. So that's very exciting to see the Necanicum and Riverside rooms nearing completion and soon to be occupied. At phase three the northwest expansion is anticipated to be completed. April 18, 2019. The administration office remodel and new restrooms, again currently under construction is anticipated to be completed June 13, 2019. The second floor remodel is under construction, anticipated completion July 2, 2019 and then the final phase of the project and that's the main lobby, stairwell relocation, removal of those restrooms. And then the completion of the new entry point coming in from the east side is scheduled to begin April 18, 2019, and then completed on or around the end of July, 2019. That is the time the project is scheduled to be completed. We don't anticipate project, totally out, completely finished out of the building until August 30, 2019. I have given a few tours over the past couple of weeks for the project and I know I've got two Council members come in tomorrow for a tour at 2:00 pm. I do extend that invitation to anyone else that would like to come by and take a look.

Councilor Phillips asked how it was working in the modular.

Mr. Vandenberg stated it's not bad. It's a little tight and there's not as much privacy as we'd like, but we're making it do and things are okay.

**COMMENTS –
CITY STAFF
AND OTHERS**

Mr. Vandenberg stated he didn't have any other comments. Our next event that will be in the building is the Sweet Affair and that's all on March 10, 2019. And we will be opening up that brand new Necanicum for the event.

Mr. McDowell stated we hope to have the waterline put in on First Avenue in front of the convention center and it will be completed by March 8, 2019.

Ms. Moberg stated the library events are always ongoing. We have an upcoming drawing class. I think it's about many drawings of birds. That's on March 9, 2019, and then on March 16, 2019 we have a calligraphy class. We require a sign up for those because we will be providing all of the materials. And then the library is also working toward an electronic reader board in the next few months we'll be getting that process going. The Friends of the Library are donating the majority of the money.

Jon Rahl, Assistant City Manager, stated he just wanted to let Council know we hired a new Water Foreman from Troy, Idaho that started work today for the Public Works Department.

Mr. Winstanley stated he wanted to take just a moment and compliment Dale for the great work that he's done over in public works and to compliment the staff in public works. They do an excellent job and the council and all of us have us know this is not an easy town for a public works department and we challenged them on a number of things and they do a fantastic job. We're very proud of the work that they do. I also would like to take this moment to compliment the rest of the departments within the city. The comment was made here earlier it's amazing how well the different departments work together to get things done. Russ was talking about having Dale over as they're working on the expansion. And it's very common for the convention center to host events that other departments are putting on or working with in the community. I think these departments work very well together. That's not true of lots of cities and governmental agencies.

I compliment all of these departments for the work that they do. Mr. Winstanley further stated and finally I'm going on vacation so I'm just going to make it that much easier for them until March 10, 2019.

COMMENTS – COUNCIL

Councilor Phillips stated she really wanted to thank those of you that came up and gave comments as well as questions about the smart meter and appreciated your time and energy coming in and the insight but allowing her to understand that there are some real concerns to them. So I appreciate you stepping up and I appreciate the question.

Councilor Wright stated he would remind everybody there's still three position open and I think in particular, not to point out one but the community center has an open position. They have some big projects and they're hoping to go into phase two. So think about that. Councilor Wright further stated he spent last Friday in Salem with about a hundred high schoolers. I was probably by far the oldest person in the room. The energy these kids have is amazing. This is the Youth Advisory Councils from around the state and I'm hoping to encourage our local Seaside students to get more involved in our local city government and get a couple of these interested so they can go and see what some of these other towns are doing like Hillsboro and even Cornelius. Then you know, the bigger ones like Gresham and Barlow and those and was a lot of fun. So we'll see how that goes. That is part of my goal as Tom said, to try to be a Zar. We want to specifically work on getting the students involved. Councilor Wright thanked Dale for his report. It did set the bar a little bit high, but I know the rest of you guys will do well. I thought it was really nice that Dale gave the Kudos for these projects to the people that worked on them. It's just a thing a good man does. You did mention that you work on all of those other committees but you did not mention the convention center and the school either. So you know, thank you for that and all of those little shout outs about history, I appreciate it. Councilor Wright stated there is History and Hops this Thursday night across the street.

Councilor Morrissey stated he just wanted to echo the comments that Mark and Steve made. We just have such a great staff and it makes our job very easy as Councilors because we can just sit back and let you guys execute, so hats off.

Councilor Montero stated you know, for me, listening to the annual reports from the different departments is one of the favorite things of being on the Council and you all present wonderful reports. Thank you Dale for the great report. RJ, I hope that a lot of that gets into the paper. I really do believe that our citizens don't realize how much goes into keeping this town a really livable town and how much our different departments do. Just sort of along the way from day to day. I don't think people notice the little differences here and there everywhere and so this is really their taxes at work. And so I hope that this gets disseminated in many ways. So thank you.

ADJOURNMENT

The regular meeting adjourned at 8:56 PM.

Kim Jordan, City Recorder

TITA MONTERO, COUNCILOR